Leigh Genasevich Memorial Scholarship: Essay Rubric

=

| Points + + | + + | + + |
|------------|-----|-----|
| | | |

| # | Grammar Usage and Mechanics No errors in grammar, punctuation, or spelling | Language and Style Clear, concise, and engaging language with varied sentence structure | Organization and Development Well-structured essay with logical progression and strong supporting details | Education - Clear and insightful discussion | Focus and Purpose Christian Walk Clear and thoughtful reflection | Future Plans Well-defined and realistic goals and explanation | Evidence Strong supporting evidence |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 4 | Minor errors but does not impede understanding | Mostly clear and concise language with some variation in sentence structure | Mostly well- structured with logical progression and sufficient supporting details | Mostly clear discussion with some insights | Mostly clear reflection with some depth | Mostly well- defined goals with some explanation | Sufficient supporting evidence |
| 3 | Some errors that may occasionally impede understanding | Adequate language but may lack clarity or variety in sentence structure | Adequate structure but may lack logical progression or supporting details | Adequate discussion with limited insights | Adequate reflection with limited depth | Vague goals or lacks explanation | Limited supporting evidence |
| 2 | Frequent errors that impede understanding | Language is unclear or lacks cohesion | Poorly structured with weak logical progression or insufficient supporting details | Superficial discussion | Superficial reflection | Goals are unclear or unrealistic | Weak or irrelevant evidence |
| 1 | Numerous errors that significantly impede understanding | Language is confusing, unorganized, and lacks cohesion | Disorganized and lacks logical progression or supporting details | Lack of focus on the topic | Lack of focus on the topic | Lack of focus on the topic | No evidence |